

# BURLINGTON BOTTOMS WILDLIFE MITIGATION PROJECT

9107800

## SHORT DESCRIPTION:

Conduct operations and maintenance for Burlington Bottoms Wildlife tract; continue maintenance and enhancement activities for wildlife habitat as necessary, in order to meet the goals and objectives of the management plan.

## SPONSOR/CONTRACTOR: ODFW

Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Greg Sieglitz and Sue Beilke, Assistant Staff Wildlife Biologist  
and Wildlife Biologist

7118 NE Vandenberg Ave., Corvallis, OR 97330

541/757-4186

greg.b.sieglitz@state.or.us

## SUB-CONTRACTORS:

N/A

---

## GOALS

### GENERAL:

Supports a healthy Columbia basin, Maintains biological diversity, Maintains genetic integrity, Increases run sizes or populations, Provides needed habitat protection, Education

### WILDLIFE:

Habitat

### NPPC PROGRAM MEASURE:

11.3F.1

### RELATION TO MEASURE:

This project is one of a number in the state which acts as mitigation for the losses identified in the program.

### TARGET STOCK

Black-capped Chickadee Red-tailed Hawk  
California Valley Quail Beaver Spotted Sandpiper  
Yellow Warbler  
Great Blue Heron  
Wood Duck

### LIFE STAGE

### MGMT CODE (see below)

### AFFECTED STOCK

Western Pond Turtle  
Spring Chinook Salmon

### BENEFIT OR DETRIMENT

Beneficial  
Beneficial

---

## BACKGROUND

### Stream name:

Multnomah Chanel of Willamette River

### Stream miles affected:

3

### LAND AREA INFORMATION

#### Subbasin:

Lower Columbia River

#### Land ownership:

public

#### Acres affected:

417

#### Habitat types:

Riparian/Riverine and Wetlands

### HISTORY:

This site was purchased in 1991 by BPA as mitigation for habitat lost along the Columbia and Willamette Rivers, and as such was

one of the first sites in Oregon under the Northwest Power Planning Council Agreement. No cost shares have been received from other agencies for this project.

#### **BIOLOGICAL RESULTS ACHIEVED:**

In 1993, a Habitat Evaluation Procedure (HEP) was conducted to analyze and assign a value to the existing habitat at Burlington Bottoms. Results of the HEP were used to design management activities related to the maintenance and enhancement of the wildlife habitat. Surveys to gather baseline data for fish, wildlife, and plant populations were conducted in 1993, 1994, and 1995.

#### **PROJECT REPORTS AND PAPERS:**

1) Burlington Bottoms Habitat Evaluation; 2) Results of 1995 and 1996 Neotropical Migratory Landbird Surveys at Burlington Bottoms; and 3) Burlington Bottoms Annual Reports 1995 & 1996.

#### **ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS:**

An adaptive management approach affords the opportunity to alter management activities over time, in response to the success or failure of management actions. The information obtained from monitoring and evaluation will be used to develop and analyze management activities including 1) effectiveness of habitat maintenance and restoration activities, and 2) species occurrence and response to management actions.

---

### **PURPOSE AND METHODS**

#### **SPECIFIC MEASUREABLE OBJECTIVES:**

One of the main objectives of this project is to enhance and maintain the wildlife habitat at Burlington Bottoms. Using the HEP process, estimates were determined for the long-term effects on the habitat with and without management activities (e.g. estimated prey availability in upland habitat if non-native invasive plant species such as reed canary grass were not controlled).

#### **CRITICAL UNCERTAINTIES:**

Critical uncertainties include unknown outcomes of manipulating water levels for controlling plant populations, and how certain wildlife species may be affected by these actions. A second critical uncertainty concerns the amount of funding available for long-term funding and personnel for the lifetime of the project.

#### **BIOLOGICAL NEED:**

The Burlington Bottoms project site provides habitat for many species of fish and wildlife, and is a remnant of a once more prevalent wetland habitat along the lower Columbia and Willamette Rivers. Past human disturbances and the invasion of exotic non-native plant and animal species require maintenance and enhancement activities to control and/or eliminate non-native plant species and restore native plant populations, in order to improve both the quality and quantity of fish and wildlife habitat.

#### **ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES:**

Alternatives are identified in the Burlington Bottoms Environmental Assessment (December 1994)

#### **METHODS:**

Maintenance and enhancement activities to improve wildlife habitat will occur in various types of habitat, including wetland, riparian forest, and uplands. Methods to control non-native invasive plant populations will include the maintenance and operation of a water control structure on the outlet channel to Horseshoe Lake, mechanical equipment such as a mower to control plant species in the upland habitat, and volunteer manpower to assist in the hand removal of plants in areas not accessible in any other way. The analysis of the results of these activities will be done by performing a modified HEP in the areas where activities occurred, in order to measure habitat changes.

---

### **PLANNED ACTIVITIES**

#### **SCHEDULE:**

<u>Implementation Phase</u>	<u>Start</u> August 97	<u>End</u> Sept. 97	<u>Subcontractor</u> Possibly
-----------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------

**Task** The engineering and placement of a culvert to replace a damaged wooden bridge will bring the road to within travel specifications. This work may involve a subcontractor. Other enhancement tasks will be undertaken including vegetation removal and additional habitat improvements.

**O&M Phase**

**Start** 1997

**End** ongoing

**Subcontractor** No

**Task** Tasks include weekly custodial visits, maintaining access into the site, and maintenance of wildlife viewing blinds and informational signs.

**PROJECT COMPLETION DATE:**

1998

**CONSTRAINTS OR FACTORS THAT MAY CAUSE SCHEDULE OR BUDGET CHANGES:**

Risks due to routine operation and maintenance of the site should be minimal.

---

## **OUTCOMES, MONITORING AND EVALUATION**

### **SUMMARY OF EXPECTED OUTCOMES**

**Expected performance of target population or quality change in land area affected:**

1) It is expected that maintenance and enhancement activities will improve habitat conditions for native fish and wildlife species, including those analyzed under the HEP process. 2) Routine operations and maintenance procedures will ensure that the site is maintained according to direction from the management plan.

**Present utilization and conservation potential of target population or area:**

Current use by sensitive wildlife species such as Western Pond Turtles, Painted Turtles and Red-legged Frogs has been documented. Current inventory work will assist with the development of conservation planning for these species.

**Contribution toward long-term goal:**

Waterfowl, shore and wading birds, wetlands

**Indirect biological or environmental changes:**

Increased nesting areas for pond and painted turtles.

**Coordination outcomes:**

Hydrology Report, Recreation Report, HEP Report (Habitat Evaluation Procedures), Draft Management Plan/Environmental Assessment all complete. Phase II involves implementing recommendations found in Management Plan.

### **MONITORING APPROACH**

Maintenance and enhancement activities to improve wildlife habitat will occur in various types of habitat, including wetland, riparian forest, and uplands. Methods to control non-native invasive plant populations will include the maintenance and operation of a water control structure on the outlet channel to Horseshoe Lake, mechanical equipment such as a mower to control plant species in the upland habitat, and volunteer manpower to assist in the hand removal of plants in areas not accessible in any other way. The analysis of the results of these activities will be done by performing a modified HEP in the areas where activities occurred, in order to measure habitat changes.

### **EVALUATION**

**Increasing public awareness of F&W activities:**

The proximity to the Portland metropolitan area provides a multitude of public involvement activities. These are on-going at the site.

---

## RELATIONSHIPS

### RELATED BPA PROJECT

5519400 Columbia Basin Mitigation

5519500 Willamette Basin Project

9009200 Conforth Ranch

9205900 Willow Creek Mitigation Project

### RELATIONSHIP

Coordination and Planning project for future mitigation projects sponsored by the Oregon Wildlife Coalition such as above.

Council mitigation planning project in the southern Willamette Valley managed by ODFW

Council mitigation project managed by CTUIR includes enhancement and O&M in the lower Umatilla Basin.

Wildlife mitigation project under Council's program managed by The Nature Conservancy in southern Willamette Valley.

### **OPPORTUNITIES FOR COOPERATION:**

Opportunities for cooperation include the use of volunteers from various local groups such as The Nature Conservancy, Portland Audubon Society, etc., to assist with maintenance and enhancement activities on the site.

---

## COSTS AND FTE

**1997 Planned:** \$52,000

**1996 Unobligated:** \$12,488

### **FUTURE FUNDING NEEDS:**

<u>FY</u>	<u>\$ NEED</u>	<u>% PLAN</u>	<u>% IMPLEMENT</u>	<u>% O AND M</u>
1998	\$55,000			
1999	\$58,000			
2000	\$62,000			
2001	\$65,000			
2002	\$68,000			

### **PAST OBLIGATIONS (incl. 1997 if done):**

<u>FY</u>	<u>OBLIGATED</u>
1993	\$88,844
1994	\$20,000
1995	\$64,394
1996	\$62,512

TOTAL: \$235,750

Note: Data are past obligations, or amounts committed by year, not amounts billed. Does not include data for related projects.

**1997 OVERHEAD PERCENT:** 22%

### **HOW DOES PERCENTAGE APPLY TO DIRECT COSTS:**

[Overhead % not provided so BPA appended older data.]